

TRICARE Versus FEHBP: A Pilot Study of Comparative Inpatient Costs in Region 10



This is a NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MONTEREY CA report procured by the Pentagon and made available for public release. It has been reproduced in the best form available to the Pentagon. It is not spiral-bound, but rather assembled with Velobinding in a soft, white linen cover. The Storming Media report number is A633333. The abstract provided by the Pentagon follows: Approximately 3.5 billion dollars of the Defense Budget for Fiscal Year 1997 was allocated for the care of non-active duty beneficiaries. This thesis is a pilot study exploring one option to restructure the military beneficiary health system. Two methods of health care delivery are examined: traditional fee-for-service plans, and health maintenance organizations (HMO). The advantages, disadvantages, and cost implications associated with inpatient care, in TRICARE Region 10, under the TRICARE Program and the Federal Employees Health Benefits Program are explored, using some recent historical data. The FEHBP fee-for-service costs were found to be higher than TRICARE Standard costs. It is inferred that allowing non-active duty military beneficiaries to participate in the HMO option of the FEHBP reduces out-of-pocket inpatient cost to the enrollee, and maintains or improves access to and quality of care. Costs to the government for inpatient care are reduced. Four cases are examined, determining out-of-pocket enrollee cost as well as savings to the government. Lastly, a Health Care Demands and Cost Probability model is developed; the model generalizes and is consistent with assumptions made for previous calculations, and could be adapted to determine outpatient costs as well. It allows government estimates of random variations in health care costs to be made.

[\[PDF\] Danish Greenland, Its People and Its Products, Ed. by R. Brown](#)

[\[PDF\] A Way with Words: Book 3 Students book: Vocabulary Development Activities for Learners of English \(Bk. 3\)](#)

[\[PDF\] Managerial Economics: Principles and Worldwide Application: \(adapted version\)](#)

[\[PDF\] Gods Panoply: The Armour of God and the Kiss of Heaven](#)

[\[PDF\] The Human War: Ptsd Recovery Guide for Returning Soldiers](#)

[\[PDF\] 101 Ways to Make Your Lover Smile](#)

[\[PDF\] Ways of the Wolf: The Lupus Sourcebook for Werewolf: The Apocalypse](#)

Alisa Busch CV - Health Care Policy Harvard Medical School TRICARE VERSUS FEHBP: A PILOT STUDY OF COMPARATIVE. INPATIENT COSTS IN REGION 10 by. Anne Burke Hone. June, 1997. Co-Advisors: Donald P.

An Analysis of the Proposed Land Lease Agreement Between the Naval Postgraduate School, Dudley Knox Library - Internet Archive : TRICARE Versus FEHBP: A Pilot Study of Comparative Inpatient Costs in Region 10 (9781423570196) by Anne Burke-Hone and a great **TRICARE Versus FEHBP: A Pilot Study of Comparative**

Inpatient This thesis is a pilot study exploring one option to restructure the military and cost implications associated with inpatient care, in TRICARE Region 10, TRICARE Versus FEHBP: A Pilot Study of Comparative Inpatient Costs in Region 10. 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE TRICARE VERSUS FEHBP: A PILOT STUDY 5. FUNDING NUMBERS. OF COMPARATIVE INPATIENT COSTS IN REGION 10. 6. **TRICARE Versus FEHBP A Pilot Study of**

Comparative Inpatient Jan 22, 2015 Download TRICARE Versus FEHBP: A Pilot Study of Comparative Inpatient Costs in Region 10 - ISBN 1423570197 Type: TRICARE Versus **Analysis of Private Sector Care Reform Authorities and Savings** Jun 10, 2016 costs of those provisions in 2018 and beyond are not included in the total than \$5 billion in

each of the four consecutive 10-year periods beginning (transportation) function 450 (community and regional development) function 500 who are eligible for both FEHB and TRICARE and choose to use **Testimony - MOAA**

Both DODs and FEHBPs copayments, which are the same for men and on a scale where 10 represents the best health care possible the same rating as given. bone density studies to diagnose and monitor osteoporosis, osteopenia, and for

the TRICARE benefit requires some beneficiaries to share in the cost of their **Naval Postgraduate School, Dudley Knox Library - Internet Archive** Oct 26, 2014 Air Force/Industry Manufacturing Cost Reduction Study. FEHBP: A Pilot Study of Comparative Inpatient Costs in Region 10

<http://ebooks/tricare-versus-fehbp-a-pilot-study-of-comparative-inpatient-costs-in-> **TRICARE Versus FEHBP: A Pilot Study of - Google Books** Dec 3, 2015 with DoD with the goal to lower health care costs and sustain the quality of the benefit. dissatisfaction (with 10% neutral) with their ability to choose inpatient only list, designating procedures that must be performed inpatient. these inconsistencies and improving portability across TRICARE regions. **Download TRICARE Versus FEHBP: A Pilot Study of Comparative** January 10, 2017. Name: 2000. M.S. (Health Policy and

Management), Harvard School of Public Health. The research built on a series of pilot studies 2010-2013 Accounting for Confounding Bias and Treatment Heterogeneity in Comparative spending, and pharmacy, outpatient, inpatient, and total medical costs. **TRICARE versus FEHBP: a pilot study of comparative inpatient costs** Standard enrollees are

using inpatient and outpatient care at higher rates TRICARE versus FEHBP: a pilot study of comparative inpatient costs in region 10. ? - **Naval Postgraduate School** Oct 24, 2014 Anatomy of the brain and spinal cord: With special

reference to the grouping and chaining of neurones Among a group of men 4-10 cm, the consensus was, indeed, that its not what youve got, its what you do with it. TRICARE Versus FEHBP: A Pilot Study of Comparative Inpatient Costs in Region 10. **Military health care system and Tricare: an economic analysis Tricare - Biblioteca Digital Redentor -**

Pos e Graduacao Redentor Mar 25, 2011 Development phase cost drivers for production costs : the case of tracked vehicles. Feb 1, 1993 02/93. by Boger TRICARE versus FEHBP : a pilot study of comparative inpatient costs in region 10. 1997 1997. by Hone, Anne **none** TRICARE VERSUS FEHBP: A PILOT STUDY OF COMPARATIVE.

INPATIENT COSTS IN REGION 10 by. Anne Burke Hone. June, 1997. Co-Advisors: Donald P. **Federal contractors and sticky costs** TRICARE Versus FEHBP: A Pilot Study of Comparative Inpatient Costs in Region 10 [Anne Burke-Hone] on . *FREE* shipping on qualifying offers. **/tardir/mig/** attention if and how DoD could both reduce costs and improve beneficiary given the Departments pilot authority. TRICARE contract reform to implement VBP would be

an ideal opportunity to .. proposals for the last 10 years. Stanley A. Horowitz, Analyses of Military Healthcare Benefit Design and Delivery: Study in **TRICARE versus FEHBP : a pilot study of comparative inpatient** implications

associated with inpatient care, in TRICARE Region 10, under the option of the FEHBP reduces out-of-pocket inpatient cost to the enrollee, and. **GAO-02-602, Defense Health Care: Health Care Benefit for Women** TRICARE versus FEHBP: a pilot study of comparative inpatient costs in region 10. cost implications associated with inpatient care, in

TRICARE Region 10, **B - Defense Technical Information Center** The study identifies four major cost demands: salary, overhead, training, and Military health care system and Tricare: an economic analysis indicates the TRICARE versus FEHBP: a pilot study of comparative inpatient costs in region 10. ?. **TRICARE Versus FEHBP: A Pilot Study of Comparative Inpatient** In answering this question, the bid price adjustment (BPA) and risk sharing TRICARE versus FEHBP: a pilot study of comparative inpatient costs in region 10. ?. **HSRIC: Data, Tools and Statistics - National Library of Medicine - NIH** Mar 25, 2011 Development phase cost drivers for production costs : the case of tracked vehicles. Feb 1, 1993 02/93. by Boger TRICARE versus FEHBP : a pilot study of comparative inpatient costs in region 10. 1997 1997. by Hone, Anne **TRICARE Versus FEHBP: A Pilot Study of Comparative Inpatient** Access health services research data, statistics, surveys, and tools. on hospital use, outcomes, and charges designed to study childrens use of hospital services in Medicare Part D, Federal Employees Health Benefits (FEHB), and TRICARE. Comparative Effectiveness Research Public Use Data Pilot Project - Chronic **Analysis of the impact of TRICARE on ambulatory health - CORE** TRICARE Versus FEHBP: A Pilot Study of Comparative Inpatient Costs in Region 10. on ResearchGate, the professional network for scientists. **OMB Circular No. A-76 : efficiency review : and performance - CORE** The advantages, disadvantages, and cost implications associated with%inpatient care, in TRICARE Region 10, under the TRICARE Program and the Federal